This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,839	06/27/2001		Jorge Ignacio Ciappi	GE-07063	3746	
28581	7590	02/28/2003				
DUANE M			EXAMINER			
	DLLEGE ROAD WEST, SUITE 100 ETON, NJ 08540-6604			JOHNSON, STEPHEN		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3641		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/28/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
1		09/892,839	CIAPPI, JORGE IGNACIO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steph n M. Johnson	3641			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	ears on the cov r sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	<u>une 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	(a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
	on Papers	oloculon roquinoliu				
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)[] T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)[] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•				
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Tra	demark Office					

Art Unit: 3641

1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-18, the preamble of the claim is inconsistent with the claim body. The preamble is directed to the subcombination "a missile launcher" or "an array of missile launchers" whereas the body of the claim is directed to the combination (a missile launcher in combination with a missile and missile canister) or (an array of missile launchers in combination with a missile and missile canister). This creates confusion as to the intended breadth of the claim language. Applicant is required to clarify whether the claims are intended to be drawn to the combination or subcombination and to amend the claims consistent with the intent.

In claims 4, 12, 16, and 18, use of the term "Mk 25" makes the claim indefinite as to what types of missiles and missile canisters are intended to be included or excluded by this terminology. In claim 13, line 6, how is the term "a deck" intended to relate to the previously claimed 'deck' (see claim 8, line 6)? In claim 14, line 6, use of the phrase "like missile launcher" makes the claim indefinite as to how closely related to a missile launcher the structure must be to infringe the claims.

2. Claims 4, 12, 16, and 18 contains the trademark/trade name "MK 25". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the

page 1, lines 106-108

Application/Control Number: 09/892,839

Art Unit: 3641

goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a missile or missile canister and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

a) a deck,

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weeks et al..

Weeks et al. disclose an array of missile launchers comprising:

b) at least one exhaust gas chimney,	14
c) a support structure,	1, 3, 4
d) a missile exhaust plenum,	13
e) attachment means for attaching to said missile	see fig. 1
canister,	
f) a door structure,	9, 10, 11
g) attachment means coupled to each of the missile	2
launchers of the array,	
h) a missile, and	5
i) a missile canister.	6

Application/Control Number: 09/892,839

Art Unit: 3641

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 1, 4-8, and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Larson et al..

Larson et al. disclose an array of missile launchers comprising:

a) a deck,	see fig. 6
b) at least one exhaust gas chimney,	17
c) a support structure,	14
d) a missile exhaust plenum,	28
e) attachment means for attaching to said missile	see fig. 4
canister,	
f) a door structure,	60
g) attachment means coupled to each of the missile	54, 56
launchers of the array,	
h) a missile, and	12
i) a missile canister.	18, 20

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Macnab et al., D'Ooge, Kamalian, and Wood et al. disclose other state of the art missile laucher assemblies.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/892,839

Art Unit: 3641

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-306-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4177.

< 1. h 4 ls

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Stephen M. Johnson **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3641

SMJ February 24, 2003